

ENHANCEMENT OF DIGITAL LITERACY FOR IMPROVING TEACHING, RESEARCH, COUNSELLING AND ASSESSMENT: A REFLECTIVE PHILOSOPHICAL DISCOURSE

Sulyman, Abdulganiy Aremu

Dept. of Educational Foundations, Federal University Lokoja
abdulganiy.sulyman@fulokoja.edu.ng, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7619-2630-07035251424> (Corresponding author)

ThankGod, Mercy Ojoagbefu

Dept. of Guidance and Counselling,
Federal University Lokoja. brightmercy834@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid digital transformation of education has redefined the competencies required of educators and learners, making digital literacy indispensable for effective educational practice. This paper reflects philosophically on the significance of enhancing digital literacy for improving teaching, research, counselling and assessment. Adopting a philosophical method of conceptual analysis and critical reflective discourse, the paper examines digital literacy through the lenses of pragmatism, constructivism, critical pedagogy and humanism. It argues that digital literacy extends beyond technological competence to encompass critical thinking, ethical responsibility, reflective judgement and lifelong learning. The paper concludes that enhancing digital literacy is both an educational necessity and a philosophical imperative for promoting innovative, inclusive and ethically responsible educational practice in an increasingly digital and artificial intelligence-driven world.

Keywords: *Digital literacy, teaching, research, counselling, assessment, philosophy of education, artificial intelligence*

Introduction

The twenty-first century has witnessed unprecedented advancements in digital technologies that have transformed virtually every aspect of human life, including education. The rapid expansion of the internet, cloud computing, mobile technologies, artificial intelligence and digital communication platforms has fundamentally changed how knowledge is

generated, accessed, disseminated and applied (UNESCO, 2023). Consequently, educational institutions are increasingly expected to prepare learners and educators who can participate effectively and responsibly in digitally mediated learning environments. This expectation has elevated digital literacy from a desirable skill to an indispensable educational competence.

Digital literacy has become central to teaching, research, counselling and assessment because these core educational functions now depend substantially on digital technologies. Teachers employ virtual learning environments, multimedia resources and artificial intelligence to facilitate instruction; researchers rely on digital databases and analytical software to generate and disseminate knowledge; counsellors increasingly utilise online platforms to provide educational and psychosocial support; while educators employ digital assessment tools to evaluate learning and provide timely feedback (Redecker & Punie, 2017). These developments suggest that educational effectiveness is increasingly influenced by the capacity of educators to utilise digital technologies critically, ethically and innovatively.

Despite these opportunities, the integration of digital technologies into education presents significant philosophical questions. The growing reliance on artificial intelligence, learning analytics and automated educational systems raises concerns regarding critical thinking, academic integrity, equity, privacy and the preservation of meaningful human relationships within education (Biesta, 2022). Technological advancement alone cannot guarantee educational quality unless it is guided by sound philosophical principles that emphasise reflective inquiry, ethical responsibility and human flourishing. Digital literacy should therefore be understood not merely as technological competence but as a disposition that enables educators and learners to engage thoughtfully and responsibly with digital environments.

Although numerous studies have examined digital literacy from technological and pedagogical perspectives, comparatively less attention has been devoted to its philosophical significance as a foundational educational competence that simultaneously enhances teaching, research, counselling and assessment. Addressing this gap is particularly important in

an era where artificial intelligence and digital technologies continue to reshape educational practice and professional responsibilities.

Against this backdrop, this paper reflects on the philosophical foundations and educational significance of digital literacy. It argues that enhancing digital literacy is both an educational necessity and a philosophical imperative for improving teaching, research, counselling and assessment. By synthesising relevant philosophical perspectives and contemporary educational scholarship, the paper seeks to contribute to ongoing discussions on the responsible integration of digital technologies in education and to advance a human-centred understanding of digital transformation.

Conceptual Clarification

Digital literacy is widely recognised as an essential competency for participation in contemporary education and society. It has evolved from basic computer operation to encompass technological, cognitive, communicative and ethical capabilities. Gilster (1997) defines digital literacy as the ability to understand and use information from diverse digital sources through critical thinking rather than merely operating technological devices. Eshet-Alkalai (2004) conceptualises it as a multidimensional competence comprising photo-visual, information, reproduction and socio-emotional literacy. Similarly, Martin (2006) describes digital literacy as the awareness, attitudes and abilities required to access, manage, evaluate, integrate and communicate digital information effectively. UNESCO (2023) also recognises it as an essential lifelong competence for responsible, critical and creative participation in digital societies.

These perspectives demonstrate that digital literacy extends beyond technical proficiency to include critical thinking, ethical judgement, information evaluation, communication, collaboration, creativity, problem-solving and responsible digital citizenship (Redecker & Punie, 2017; UNESCO, 2023). In education, it enables teachers, researchers, counsellors and learners to use learning management systems, virtual classrooms, electronic libraries, artificial intelligence applications and digital assessment tools effectively. Philosophically, digital literacy promotes intellectual autonomy by enabling individuals to question, evaluate and use digital information responsibly rather than consume it uncritically.

Teaching is the deliberate and systematic process through which educators facilitate the acquisition of knowledge, skills, values and attitudes for learners' holistic development (Bruner, 1966). Beyond transmitting information, it involves designing meaningful experiences that encourage inquiry, reflection and intellectual growth. Dewey (1916) emphasises learning through active participation and the reconstruction of experience, while Freire (1970) criticises the traditional banking model of education, which treats learners as passive recipients of knowledge. He advocates dialogical teaching that promotes critical consciousness, participation and transformation. These perspectives underpin contemporary learner-centred pedagogy.

Research is a systematic, organised and critical process of generating knowledge through disciplined inquiry, evidence gathering and logical analysis (Creswell & Creswell, 2023). Educational research investigates educational phenomena, addresses practical problems and contributes to theoretical development for improving policy and practice. Cohen et al. (2018) emphasise rigorous procedures for collecting, analysing and interpreting evidence to answer questions or examine propositions. Research consequently requires intellectual rigour, objectivity and methodological integrity.

Counselling is a professional helping relationship through which trained practitioners assist individuals in understanding themselves, addressing educational, vocational, emotional and psychosocial challenges and making informed decisions that promote wellbeing (American Counseling Association [ACA], 2014). It is a collaborative process that facilitates personal growth, problem-solving and self-understanding (Corey, 2021). In educational institutions, counselling supports academic adjustment, career development, mental health and social integration, contributing to learners' holistic development.

Assessment involves systematically collecting, analysing, interpreting and using evidence to determine the extent to which educational objectives have been achieved and to improve teaching and learning (Brown & Abeywickrama, 2019). It supports progress monitoring, diagnosis of learning difficulties, instructional decision-making and evaluation of educational effectiveness. Formative, summative, diagnostic and authentic

assessments serve complementary purposes (Brookhart, 2017). Black and Wiliam (1998) further argue that assessment should improve learning through constructive feedback and continuous learner engagement rather than merely measure achievement.

These conceptual clarifications establish digital literacy as a multidimensional educational competence encompassing technological proficiency, critical thinking, ethical reasoning, communication and responsible digital engagement (Gilster, 1997; Martin, 2006; UNESCO, 2023). These competencies can enhance teaching, strengthen research, expand access to counselling and improve assessment practices. Digital literacy should therefore be understood as both an intellectual and ethical disposition that enables educators and learners to engage thoughtfully, responsibly and creatively with technology. As educational environments respond to technological innovation, developing digital literacy becomes a professional and ethical responsibility for educators committed to improving learning, advancing knowledge, promoting wellbeing and achieving quality educational outcomes.

Philosophical Foundations of Digital Literacy

Pragmatism provides a strong philosophical justification for digital literacy in education. Associated principally with John Dewey, pragmatism maintains that knowledge derives meaning from experience, inquiry and practical consequences rather than abstract speculation (Dewey, 1916). Learning occurs through active engagement with real-life problems, reflective thinking and the reconstruction of experience. In digital education, this perspective suggests that technology should be adopted because it addresses educational challenges and improves learning outcomes, not merely because it is innovative. Digital literacy enables educators to use virtual laboratories, learning management systems, multimedia resources and artificial intelligence applications to promote inquiry, creativity and problem-solving. Technology therefore becomes educationally valuable when it supports knowledge construction and the practical application of learning.

Constructivism complements pragmatism by maintaining that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environments. Piaget (1972) explains learning through assimilation and accommodation,

while Vygotsky (1978) emphasises social interaction, dialogue and collaboration. Digital discussion forums, simulations, collaborative documents and virtual classrooms provide opportunities for learners to explore information, solve problems and develop understanding. Digital literacy equips educators and learners with the competencies required to participate effectively in these collaborative and inquiry-based environments, positioning learners as active knowledge constructors rather than passive recipients of information.

Critical pedagogy offers another important philosophical foundation. Freire (1970) rejects educational practices that reduce learners to passive recipients of knowledge and advocates critical consciousness, dialogue and social transformation. In digital environments, this perspective requires educators and learners to scrutinise information, identify misinformation, question dominant narratives and recognise technological practices that may reinforce inequality or manipulation. Digital literacy must therefore encompass the ability to evaluate the credibility, authenticity and ideological assumptions of digital content. As artificial intelligence, social media algorithms and digital platforms increasingly influence access to information, critical digital consciousness becomes essential for responsible and empowering participation.

Humanism enriches this foundation by emphasising learners' dignity, autonomy and holistic development. Maslow (1970) and Rogers (1969) advocate educational experiences that support intellectual, emotional, moral and social growth. From this perspective, technology should remain a means of promoting human development rather than an end in itself. Digital literacy should support inclusive learning, personalised instruction, learner autonomy and meaningful interpersonal relationships. Although digital technologies can provide accessible resources and flexible learning opportunities, their educational value depends on their capacity to respect individual differences and promote human flourishing.

Connectivism offers a contemporary perspective on learning within digital environments. Siemens (2005) argues that learning occurs through networks of information, technology and human interaction. As knowledge becomes distributed across digital platforms, databases and professional communities, individuals need the ability to locate, evaluate, connect and

update information. Digital literacy consequently enables educators, researchers, counsellors and learners to participate in knowledge networks, collaborate academically and adapt to changing information environments.

Collectively, these philosophical perspectives establish digital literacy as more than technological competence. Pragmatism emphasises practical problem-solving; constructivism highlights active knowledge construction; critical pedagogy promotes critical consciousness; humanism safeguards learners' dignity and development; and connectivism explains learning through interconnected networks. Together, they provide a foundation for integrating digital literacy into teaching, research, counselling and assessment. Enhancing digital literacy should therefore be regarded as an educational priority that harmonises technological innovation with intellectual development, ethical responsibility and human wellbeing.

Strategies for Enhancing Digital Literacy

Enhancing digital literacy requires deliberate and sustained efforts from educators, educational institutions and policymakers. First, continuous professional development should be prioritised to equip educators with contemporary digital competencies, including the ethical and pedagogical use of artificial intelligence and other emerging technologies. Such training should promote not only technical proficiency but also critical thinking, reflective practice and lifelong learning (Redecker & Punie, 2017).

Second, educational institutions should establish supportive digital environments by providing reliable technological infrastructure, internet connectivity, digital libraries and accessible learning management systems. These resources enable educators and learners to apply digital technologies effectively across teaching, research, counselling and assessment (UNESCO, 2023).

Third, digital literacy should be integrated into educational curricula and professional standards. Rather than being treated as a separate technological skill, it should be embedded across disciplines to cultivate information literacy, digital citizenship, ethical reasoning and collaborative learning. This integration prepares learners to engage responsibly with digital technologies in academic and professional contexts.

Finally, institutions should foster a culture of responsible digital practice by promoting academic integrity, data privacy, inclusiveness and the ethical use of artificial intelligence. Such a culture encourages educators and learners to employ digital technologies in ways that enhance educational quality while preserving the human values that underpin education.

Reflective Discourse on Digital Literacy for Improving Teaching, Research, Counselling and Assessment

The accelerating pace of digital transformation has fundamentally altered the landscape of education, redefining how knowledge is generated, disseminated and utilised. Consequently, digital literacy has become more than a professional competency; it is now an indispensable educational disposition that shapes the effectiveness of teaching, research, counselling and assessment. Reflecting on this transformation reveals that the value of digital literacy lies not in the technologies themselves but in educators' capacity to employ them thoughtfully, ethically and purposefully to improve educational practice. Technology should therefore be viewed as an instrument for advancing educational goals rather than an end in itself.

In teaching, digital literacy has shifted pedagogical practice from teacher-centred instruction towards more interactive, learner-centred and collaborative approaches. Digital technologies enable educators to present knowledge through multimedia resources, virtual simulations, interactive learning platforms and artificial intelligence-supported applications that accommodate diverse learning styles and improve learner engagement (Koehler et al., 2013; Mishra & Koehler, 2006). The contemporary classroom is no longer confined to physical walls but extends into virtual learning environments where learners interact synchronously and asynchronously with teachers, peers and educational resources across geographical boundaries.

This transformation requires educators to rethink their professional identities. Rather than serving primarily as transmitters of information, teachers increasingly function as facilitators, mentors, instructional designers and critical guides who assist learners in navigating an overwhelming volume of digital information. Such a role demands continuous professional development and a commitment to lifelong learning because digital technologies evolve more rapidly than traditional

educational practices. An educator who possesses extensive subject knowledge but lacks digital literacy may struggle to communicate effectively with learners who increasingly inhabit digitally mediated learning environments. Conversely, educators who continually enhance their digital competence are better positioned to create inclusive, engaging and authentic learning experiences that stimulate curiosity, creativity and critical thinking.

Artificial intelligence has further transformed teaching by providing opportunities for personalised instruction, adaptive learning and automated instructional support. AI-powered educational applications can assist educators in developing lesson plans, generating instructional materials, creating differentiated learning activities and providing immediate feedback to learners. These capabilities enable teachers to devote greater attention to mentoring, facilitating discussion and addressing learners' individual needs. Nevertheless, reflective practice requires educators to recognise that artificial intelligence cannot replace pedagogical wisdom, empathy or professional judgement. Educational decisions must continue to be guided by human values, contextual understanding and ethical responsibility rather than technological efficiency alone.

Digital literacy also profoundly influences research by transforming how knowledge is discovered, evaluated, produced and disseminated. The emergence of digital libraries, institutional repositories, bibliographic databases, collaborative research platforms and artificial intelligence has significantly expanded access to scholarly resources while reducing geographical and financial barriers to knowledge production (Creswell & Creswell, 2023). Researchers now possess unprecedented opportunities to collaborate across disciplines and national boundaries, thereby enriching both the quality and impact of scholarly inquiry.

Despite these advantages, the abundance of digital information presents significant intellectual challenges. The ease with which information can be generated and circulated requires researchers to exercise greater critical judgement when evaluating the credibility, authenticity and relevance of digital sources. Artificial intelligence, while capable of accelerating aspects of the research process such as literature searching, language refinement and preliminary data organisation, cannot substitute for scholarly reasoning,

methodological rigour or original intellectual contribution. Reflective researchers therefore recognise that digital tools should enhance rather than replace critical inquiry. Academic integrity remains indispensable, requiring transparency regarding the use of AI, appropriate attribution of sources and careful verification of digitally generated information.

The philosophical significance of digital literacy in research extends beyond efficiency. It encourages scholars to embrace openness, collaboration and interdisciplinary engagement while maintaining the intellectual humility necessary to question assumptions, evaluate evidence critically and acknowledge the limitations of technological systems. Digital literacy therefore contributes not merely to faster research but to more responsible, credible and impactful scholarship.

Counselling has similarly experienced significant transformation through advances in digital technology. Educational counsellors increasingly employ tele-counselling, video conferencing, secure messaging platforms and digital mental health applications to provide guidance and psychosocial support to learners who may otherwise experience barriers to accessing professional services. Such innovations have expanded the reach of counselling services while improving flexibility and responsiveness within educational institutions.

Nevertheless, counselling differs fundamentally from many other educational functions because its effectiveness depends largely upon empathy, trust, confidentiality and authentic interpersonal relationships. Digital literacy enables counsellors to utilise technological platforms competently while preserving these essential professional values. Effective digital counselling therefore requires not only technical competence but also ethical sensitivity regarding privacy, informed consent, data security and professional boundaries (American Counseling Association, 2014). The increasing availability of artificial intelligence-supported counselling applications similarly invites careful philosophical reflection. While such technologies may provide preliminary emotional support or facilitate routine guidance, they cannot replicate the nuanced understanding, compassion and moral judgement that characterise professional counselling relationships. Human presence remains indispensable in addressing complex emotional, psychological and ethical concerns.

Assessment represents another educational function profoundly influenced by digital literacy. Traditionally, assessment emphasised measuring learners' achievement at the conclusion of instruction. Contemporary educational philosophy increasingly recognises assessment as an ongoing process that supports learning through timely feedback, reflection and continuous improvement (Black & Wiliam, 1998). Digital technologies have accelerated this transition by enabling online assessments, electronic portfolios, automated marking systems, adaptive testing and learning analytics that provide immediate information regarding learner performance.

When employed appropriately, these innovations enhance educational quality by enabling educators to monitor learners' progress continuously, identify learning gaps promptly and personalise instructional interventions. Digital literacy equips educators with the competence required to select appropriate assessment technologies, interpret assessment data responsibly and ensure that assessment practices remain valid, reliable and inclusive. However, reflective educational practice requires recognition that not every aspect of learning can be quantified or automated. Creativity, ethical reasoning, empathy, collaboration and critical reflection often require qualitative assessment approaches that depend upon professional judgement rather than algorithmic calculation. Consequently, digital assessment should complement rather than replace educators' interpretive expertise.

Another important reflection concerns equity within digitally mediated education. Although digital technologies create unprecedented educational opportunities, unequal access to reliable internet connectivity, digital devices and technological infrastructure continues to widen educational disparities across many developing countries. Digital literacy cannot flourish where basic technological resources remain inaccessible. Consequently, educational institutions and governments share a moral responsibility to ensure equitable access to digital infrastructure, affordable connectivity and continuous professional development for educators and learners alike. Without such commitments, technological advancement risks reinforcing rather than reducing educational inequality.

Equally important is the ethical responsibility accompanying increased dependence on digital technologies and artificial intelligence. Digital

literacy should cultivate not only technological competence but also virtues such as honesty, accountability, respect for intellectual property, protection of personal data, transparency and responsible communication. The misuse of artificial intelligence for plagiarism, fabrication of research findings, academic dishonesty or misinformation undermines the very educational values that digital technologies are intended to strengthen. Consequently, educational institutions should promote digital ethics as an integral component of digital literacy rather than treating ethical considerations as secondary concerns.

Reflecting upon teaching, research, counselling and assessment collectively reveals that these educational functions are mutually reinforcing rather than isolated activities. Effective teaching draws upon quality research; research informs evidence-based counselling and assessment; counselling supports learners' academic engagement; and assessment provides information that improves teaching and learning. Digital literacy strengthens each of these functions simultaneously by facilitating communication, collaboration, information management and informed decision-making. Its significance therefore lies not simply in improving individual educational activities but in creating an integrated educational ecosystem characterised by innovation, inclusiveness, ethical responsibility and continuous learning.

Ultimately, the enhancement of digital literacy should be understood as a transformative educational project rather than a technological initiative. Educational excellence in the twenty-first century depends less upon access to sophisticated technologies than upon educators' capacity to employ those technologies wisely, critically and ethically. Digital literacy therefore becomes a means through which educational institutions can cultivate intellectually competent, morally responsible and socially responsive educators capable of preparing learners for meaningful participation in an increasingly interconnected and technologically mediated world.

Implications for Educational Practice

The foregoing philosophical reflections suggest that digital literacy should be regarded as a core educational competence rather than an auxiliary technological skill. Its enhancement has important implications for educational practice, particularly in preparing educators to respond effectively to the demands of an increasingly digital and AI-driven society.

For teacher education, digital literacy should be embedded across pre-service and in-service professional development programmes. Educators should be equipped not only with technical competencies but also with the pedagogical, ethical and reflective capacities required to integrate digital technologies meaningfully into teaching, research, counselling and assessment (Biesta, 2022; Redecker & Punie, 2017).

The discourse also has implications for educational leadership and policy. Institutional leaders should cultivate a culture of responsible digital innovation by providing adequate infrastructure, promoting continuous professional learning and developing policies that safeguard academic integrity, data privacy and equitable access to digital resources (UNESCO, 2023). Such commitments are essential for ensuring that technological advancement contributes to educational quality rather than widening existing inequalities.

Furthermore, curriculum developers should reconceptualise digital literacy as a cross-cutting competence that supports critical thinking, ethical reasoning, collaboration and lifelong learning instead of restricting it to technology-related courses. This approach aligns with the broader educational goal of preparing learners to participate responsibly and productively in digitally mediated societies (Freire, 1970).

Ultimately, enhancing digital literacy is not simply a technological undertaking but an educational commitment to developing reflective, ethical and innovative professionals. Educational practice should therefore continually balance technological advancement with human values so that digital transformation strengthens, rather than diminishes, the fundamental purposes of education.

Conclusion

This paper has argued that digital literacy is an indispensable educational competence for improving teaching, research, counselling and assessment in an increasingly digital world. Through philosophical reflection, it has demonstrated that digital literacy extends beyond technical expertise to encompass critical thinking, ethical responsibility, reflective judgement and lifelong learning. These attributes enable educators and learners to engage

meaningfully with digital technologies while safeguarding the fundamental values of education.

Drawing upon pragmatism, constructivism, critical pedagogy and humanism, the paper has shown that technology derives educational value only when it is employed to promote inquiry, collaboration, inclusiveness and human development. Although digital technologies and artificial intelligence have created unprecedented opportunities for educational transformation, they should complement rather than replace professional judgement, ethical reasoning and authentic human interaction. The paper therefore concludes that enhancing digital literacy is both an educational necessity and a philosophical imperative. As educational systems continue to evolve in response to technological innovation, stakeholders should promote digital literacy as a lifelong competence that integrates technological capability with intellectual rigour, ethical responsibility and professional excellence. Such a commitment will strengthen teaching, research, counselling and assessment while contributing to more inclusive, innovative and sustainable educational practice.

References

- American Counseling Association. (2014). *ACA code of ethics*. Author.
- Aristotle. (2009). *Nicomachean ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)
- Audi, R. (2023). *Philosophy: A very short introduction* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Biesta, G. (2022). *World-centred education: A view for the present*. Routledge.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2017). *How to give effective feedback to your students* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2019). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices* (3rd ed.). Pearson.
- Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a theory of instruction*. Harvard University Press.

- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge.
- Corey, G. (2021). *Theory and practice of counseling and psychotherapy* (10th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). Sage.
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education*. Macmillan.
- Eshet-Alkalai, Y. (2004). Digital literacy: A conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 13(1), 93–106.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
- Gilster, P. (1997). *Digital literacy*. Wiley.
- Kant, I. (1993). *Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals* (J. W. Ellington, Trans., 3rd ed.). Hackett Publishing. (Original work published 1785)
- Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2013). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19.
- Martin, A. (2006). A European framework for digital literacy. *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy*, 2(3), 151–161.
- Maslow, A. H. (1970). *Motivation and personality* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books.
- Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Rogers, C. R. (1969). *Freedom to learn*. Charles E. Merrill.

- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3–10.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2023). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.